State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-1 Electrics & VZ - Provide copies of any pole attachment application

forms used by your company, as well as examples of form letters, license forms and other processing documents used by your company and a prospective Third Party Attacher regarding survey responses and make ready work (either sample or redacted actual) not already provided under

Staff 1-22 and Staff 4-6.

REPLY: Verizon 's current pole attachment license application forms can be

found on the Licensee Website provided in Verizon NH's reply to Staff 1-22. Verizon utilizes a Lotus Notes database known as the Licensing Database which generates form letters based on specific information entered regarding each application and the specific circumstances unique to each application. Upon request, Verizon will provide a demonstration of the database to showcase some of the many variations of letters that are generated during the application process at specific milestone dates

for survey and make-ready work.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-2 Electrics & VZ – Please provide a copy of all initial inquiries for

attachments on jointly or solely owned poles made in the past six months

for the following:

a) CLECs

b) CATV

c) Municipalities

d) Unregulated affiliates

e) Other private party attachers

REPLY: Verizon NH considers information responsive to this request to be

proprietary and competitively sensitive. It will be provided subject to confidential treatment in accordance with RSA 378:43 and a duly

executed protective agreement.

Copies of all initial inquiries for attachments on jointly or solely owned poles are voluminous and proprietary. The documents will be made

available for viewing upon request at 185 Franklin Street, Boston, MA.

From January 1, 2006 – June 30, 2006 Verizon NH received 313 inquiries for attachments on jointly or solely owned poles in New Hampshire. The 313 inquiries include 21 from CLECs, 287 from CATV, and 5 from other attachers. No inquiries were received from New Hampshire municipalities or unregulated affiliates for that

timeframe.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-3 Electrics & VZ - For each initial inquiry in the previous question,

provide the following information in tabular format:

a) The date of the request

b) the disposition of the request

c) the date of response to the requesting party

d) the date the final attachments were completed

REPLY:

Verizon does not track all of the requested information in the normal course of business. The information that is tracked includes (a) the date the request was received and (b) the disposition of the request. The date of response to the requesting party for the initial inquiry (c) is only tracked on an exception basis, for example, when a customer submits an incomplete application or requests a cost estimate for the pole survey. Referring to (d), the Verizon license process includes a form that customers are requested to return to Verizon when they have completed their attachments. Since the form is rarely returned, Verizon cannot list the date the final attachments were completed. Verizon does track the date the application was licensed and has included those dates on the attachment.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-4 Electrics & VZ - Describe the manner in which concurrent or

overlapping applications for attachment to the same poles by more than

one requester are treated.

a) Are applications handled on a first in/first out basis throughout the survey and make-ready process, using the application date?

- b) Are applications re-ordered at any time in the process? If so, please describe what criteria are used to re-order applications.
- c) Describe all approaches that are applied in prioritizing applications from multiple applicants for attachments to the same poles.
- d) For each prioritization procedure identified, describe the measures that are in place to ensure the applications stay in the initial priority assigned.

REPLY:

- a) Verizon handles applications on a first come-first served basis, taking into consideration not only the initial date the application is received, but also the date on which survey and make-ready payments are received from each applicant.
- b) The applicant controls the timing for submission of its application to Verizon NH, including the timing for submitting payment for both the survey and make-ready to Verizon, the electric company and other existing attachers. Verizon offers Licensees an option for project management. This option is described in Verizon's Procedure # 3 and referenced in Verizon NH's reply to Staff 1-22. If a Licensee requests the project management option, time frames for processing an application are negotiable.

REPLY: (Cont'd)

- c) Rarely are applications received from multiple applicants for the same poles on the same day. If this were to occur, Verizon NH's costs associated with the survey and make-ready would be allocated between the applicants.
- d) Not applicable.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-5 Electrics & VZ - For a 36-month period, ending with the most recent

data available in 2006, please provide by month the number of

applications for attachment received in New Hampshire, segregated into

the following categories:

a) CLECs

b) CATV

c) Municipalities

d) Unregulated affiliates

e) Other private party attachers

REPLY: For the period July 2003 through June 2006, Verizon NH has received

1,454 applications for attachments. For this time period, Verizon NH did not receive any applications from municipalities or unregulated affiliates.

The number of license applications received from other third party

attachers is displayed on the attachment.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-6 Electrics & VZ - For the information provided in Question 6-5, please

provide the following additional data:

a) Both arithmetic mean and median number of days from the submission of an attachment application to a survey response (either license issuance or notification to the applicant of make-ready requirements).

b) In cases where make-ready is required, the arithmetic mean and median amount of days from the applicant's approval of and payment for make-ready work to actual completion of make-ready work and license issuance.

REPLY:

- a) For the period July 2003 through June 2006, the arithmetic mean for the number of days from the submission of an attachment application to a survey response for the applications received in New Hampshire was 25.66 days. For the same period, the median number of days was 25.55.
- b) For the period July 2003 through June 2006, Verizon NH received 231 applications that required make ready work. For these applications, the arithmetic mean for the number of days from the date Verizon NH received the applicant's payment for the make ready work to the date the application was licensed was 102.71 days and the median was 101.42 days.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-7 Electrics & VZ - Provide, in tabular format, for poles jointly or solely

owned, or solely or jointly used, by your company in past ten calendar

years from 1996 to 2005 inclusive:

a) the number of CLECs applying to attach

b) the number of denials for pole attachments

REPLY: Information responsive to this request for the period prior to October 2001 is not maintained in the ordinary course of business and thus is not

available.

	# CLECs Applying	
Year	to Attach in NH	# Denials
2001 (Oct-Dec)	4	0
2002	5	0
2003	6	0
2004	9	0
2005	9	0

There have been no denials to CLECs applying to attach to poles controlled by Verizon in New Hampshire during the time period specified. When space on an existing pole is insufficient for an additional attachment, Verizon NH identifies the need to place a taller pole as part of the make ready work required to accommodate the request.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-8 Electrics & VZ - For each denial listed in 6-7. b), please provide a

summary of the nature of each denial, and the final disposition of the

request.

REPLY: Not applicable.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-9 Electrics & VZ - Please affirm that your company's written policy

governing Third Party Attachment requests and responses is the same written policy provided under Staff 4-6 and Staff 4-9 in a response to questions about municipal attachments. If not, please provide a copy of

the written policy your company follows when evaluating and

responding to Third Party Attachment requests.

REPLY: Verizon NH's written procedures, forms and pole attachment agreement

for Third Party Attachers are the same documents referenced in Verizon

NH's replies to Staff 4-6 and Staff 4-9.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-10 Electrics & VZ - Does your company require advance payment from prospective attachers for survey and/or make-ready work?

a) If so, please describe the reason for requiring advance payment, and the methodology used for the determination of the advance payment amount.

- b) If advance payment is required, please describe whether or not your company reconciles advance payments with actual expenses and whether or not supplemental bills or refunds (as applicable) are sent to prospective attachers.
- c) If a reconciliation of expenses is performed and bills or refunds are sent to prospective attachers, what is the time period for completing this process following completion of the survey or make-ready work?

REPLY:

Verizon's written procedures and pole attachment agreement do require advance payment from prospective attachers for survey and make-ready work.

a) Advance payment and a signed authorization are the triggers required to initiate a survey and make-ready work. Payment also ensures that an applicant's request is legitimate and the applicant is ready to proceed. Payment and a signed authorization help to ensure applications are processed in a timely and non-discriminatory manner. The methodology used for determining the advance payment is based upon unit costs as described in the pole attachment agreement in Article 3.3.

REPLY: (Cont'd) b) Verizon's current pole attachment agreement template utilizes unit costs for survey and make-ready work. There are a few tasks for which unit costs are not practical, for example, police and traffic control, setting poles in ledge, and tree trimming. Article 3.3.2 of

Where the work to be performed by Licensor is covered by a Unit Cost as described in subpart 3.3.4, the Licensor shall use the Unit Cost for the Charge. Where the work to be performed by Licensor is not covered by a Unit Cost, in whole or in part, the Charge will be based on an estimate of charges. For any charges based on an estimate, the Licensee shall be credited for any amount paid in excess of the Licensor's estimate charges, or shall be billed for any amount in addition to Licensor's estimated charges, as compared to the actual charges as finally computed.

Verizon's current pole attachment agreement template states:

There are some license agreements that pre-date the current unit-cost agreement. Verizon's previous pole attachment agreement template required advance payment of estimated survey and makeready charges. This agreement stated,

The amount of the advance payment required will be credited against the full cost to Licensor for performing such work or having such work performed by others. ... Where the advance payment made by Licensee to Licensor for field survey or make-ready work is less than the full cost to Licensor for such work, Licensee agrees to pay Licensor all sums due in excess of the amount of the advance payment. ... Where the advance payment made by Licensee to Licensor for field survey or make-ready work exceeds the full cost to Licensor for such work, Licensor shall refund the difference to Licensee.

c) Information responsive to this request is not maintained in the ordinary course of business and thus is not available.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-11 Electrics & VZ - When make-ready work is required for Third Party

Attachment to a pole, is the prospective attacher charged only for the

alterations necessary to accommodate the new attachment?

a) In the event that a surveyed pole (as is) is not code-compliant, who

is assessed the cost of the repair work to bring the pole into

compliance?

b) To whom are charges assessed in the event that a pole must be

altered and/or replaced regardless of the prospective attachment?

REPLY: When make-ready work is required for Third Party Attachment to a pole, the prospective attacher is charged for the work performed as a direct

result of its proposed new attachment.

a) In the event that a surveyed pole (as is) is not code-compliant, and the cost causer can be identified, then the cost of the work to bring

the pole into compliance is attributed to the cost causer already

attached to the pole.

b) Absent the ability to identify the cost causer amongst existing attachers, the pole owners would absorb the cost of the work.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-12 VZ - Please provide a detailed description and summary of the basis of

Verizon's attachment fees, make ready costs, engineering costs, and other costs imposed upon non-Verizon users of the pole for attachment

purposes.

REPLY: Verizon NH imposes three categories of charges upon third party

attachers: 1) pole attachment fees; 2) field survey charges; and 3) makeready charges. The rates for each of these charges are provided in Appendix I to the Pole Attachment Agreement Template (please see Verizon NH's reply to Staff 1-22). The basis for these charges is as

follows.

Pole attachment fees – This charge was established to recover a
portion of the investment and carrying costs of Verizon's pole
equipment investment as they relate to third party attachers. This rate
was calculated based on the 1993 annual ARMIS reports and FCC
cost methodology for pole attachments. Verizon negotiated a phase in
of these rates over a three year period starting in 1995.

- Field Survey Charges Field surveys are required for each pole and anchor for which an attachment is requested to determine the adequacy of the pole and anchor to accommodate an applicant's attachments and facilities. The field survey charge includes engineering labor and average round-trip travel time.
- Make-Ready Charges The unit prices for make-ready work are comprised of a list of tasks that are performed by construction field personnel. Not all tasks are required on all jobs. These charges reflect both engineering and construction labor costs, equipment costs and administrative costs.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-13 Electrics & VZ - Are survey and/or make-ready charges for new

attachments the same for all entities that seek to attach, or do they vary?

If there are variations, please explain any differences.

REPLY: Verizon NH's survey and make-ready charges for new attachments are

the same for all entities that seek to attach under pole attachment

agreements that utilize unit costs. For new pole attachments applied for under Verizon NH's former pole attachment agreement template, survey and make-ready charges are administered as described in Verizon NH's

reply to Staff 6-10.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-14 Electrics & VZ – Does your company charge the same annual rental

rates for all entities who wish to attach to poles jointly or solely owned,

or jointly or solely used? If not, please explain the differences in

charges.

REPLY: Verizon NH charges the same annual rental rate for all entities that wish

to attach to poles. Pole attachment fees are located in Appendix I of Verizon's pole attachment agreement template. A copy is attached.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-15 Electrics & VZ – Do you allow temporary attachments by licensees

when make-ready work is delayed and permanent attachments cannot be

made or for any other reason?

REPLY: Verizon NH's policy does not allow for temporary attachments by

Licensees except in very limited cases for major rebuild projects,

generally undertaken by a cable television company. Verizon NH's pole attachment agreement specifies the time frames within which make-

ready work will be completed.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-16 Electrics & VZ – Do you use or allow pole boxing, defined as the

placing of cable plant in the communications space on both sides of a

pole, as part of your construction practices?

REPLY: Verizon NH does not "box" as a standard practice. "Boxing" a pole

involves attaching cables to both sides of the pole (i.e., the field side and the road side) while maintaining minimum vertical clearances between pole attachments at the midspan. "Boxing" does not create additional space for attaching cable to a pole. "Boxing" attachments are temporary to allow for completion of other work. "Boxing" is also not a means to

avoid make-ready costs.

Pole removals and the associated cable transfers are complicated when "boxed" poles are involved. In an emergency pole replacement situation, "boxed" poles impact public safety due to the complexity of the cable

transfers and the associated time requirements.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-17 Electrics & VZ – If pole boxing is an accepted practice, do you allow

licensees to use it?

REPLY: Verizon NH would allow a licensee to use "boxing" under the same

limited circumstances that Verizon NH itself uses "boxing."

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-18 VZ – Do you place extension arms / standoff brackets as permanent plant

with your cable installations to mitigate clearance and separation issues

or for any other reasons? Please explain.

REPLY: Verizon NH uses extension arms in very limited cases and not as an

alternative to using available attachment space on the pole. For example, where pole misalignment occurs and there is no means to place a guy and anchor to hold the pole, an extension arm may be used to offset the cable from the misaligned pole to provide cable alignment. Another example would be where there is an obstruction in the span such as a tree or building between two poles and an extension arm would allow the

cables to clear the object.

Similar to boxing, extension arms are not used by Verizon NH as a means to gain pole space. Extension arms require sufficient pole space to accommodate minimum separation and clearances, and occupy pole space for the extension arm attachment and associated support bracket.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-19 VZ – Do you allow licensees to place extension arms / standoff brackets

as permanent plant to reduce the need to move existing pole attachments, to reduce the need to make pole replacements or for any other reasons?

REPLY: Verizon NH would allow a licensee to use an extension arm in those

instances where Verizon NH might use the same arrangement.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-20 Electrics - Describe your policy concerning the allowance of (a) All

Dielectric Self Supporting (ADSS) fiber cable competitively deployed

within the space ordinarily reserved for electric power lines; (b)

competitive attachment to low-voltage sole-owned transmission lines;

and (c) competitive attachment to high-tension lines.

REPLY: Verizon response not required.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-21 Electrics & VZ - Regarding the order of attachments on poles:

a) What is the order of attachments in the telecommunications space from the top down?

b) Is it the same on all poles?

c) If not, what determines the order for any given pole?

REPLY:

- a) Generally, the order of attachments in the communications space on a pole from the top down consists of the following: fire alarm/municipal, cable television, other licensees, Verizon NH.
- b) Not all poles have the same number of attachments or the same attaching entities. Therefore, the order of attachments is not the same on all poles.
- c) Verizon NH designates the location for attachments on poles in an attempt to maintain the same relative position on poles for each attacher and to ensure proper clearance and separation, both at the pole and within the span between poles. This practice ensures maximum utilization of the communications space and ensures an orderly and systematic process when transferring or replacing attachments by all parties.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-22 Electrics & VZ - Define "make-ready" work in reference to Third Party

Attachments.

REPLY: Verizon NH defines "make-ready" work in reference to Third Party

Attachments in Article I of the definitions section of its pole attachment

agreement template as follows:

Make-ready Work. All work, including, but not limited to, rearrangement and/or transfer of existing facilities, replacement of a pole or any other changes required to accommodate the attachment of a licensee's facilities to a

pole or anchor.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-23 Electrics & VZ - Describe the survey process and what occurs between

the time of application and the creation of a survey response.

REPLY: Verizon NH's survey process is described in detail both in the pole

attachment agreement template (Article V) and in Procedure # 3. Please see Verizon NH's attachment to Verizon NH's response to Staff 4-4A

Follow-Up and Staff 1-22.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-24 Electrics & VZ - Please describe the number, location and type of

personnel in your company assigned to work on Third-Party Attachment applications, surveys, engineering, make-ready and related issues for poles located in New Hampshire. Describe the training and professional qualifications of said personnel, including any certifications or licenses required or maintained. Additionally, describe the qualifications of the

personnel involved in the survey and make-ready process.

REPLY: The centralized License Administration Group (LAG) located in Boston

includes fifteen employees assigned to work on the Third-Party

Attachment license process for the five-state Verizon New England area. This group includes four management specialists, one clerical supervisor, and ten clerical administrative assistants. In total the employees in the LAG have 100 years experience handling license applications. On-the-

job training is provided for LAG personnel.

In addition to the LAG, there is one Reimbursable Engineer, and two contracted engineers performing Third-Party field survey and engineering work for New Hampshire. This subgroup has a total of 84 years experience with the outside plant network. The contractor experience includes hands-on experience as Verizon linemen and supervisory experience covering construction (line and splice functions) and contract work administration. They have over 12 years experience as field surveyors for Third-Party attachments.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-25 Electrics & VZ - Does your company utilize independent sub-contractors

for any portion of the Third Party Attachment process in New

Hampshire, or have you done so in the past? If so, please describe in detail the sub-contractors used, their tasks, and their qualifications.

REPLY: Yes. Verizon uses two contract engineers to complete field surveys and

design construction work orders for Third-Party, make-ready work in

New Hampshire. Please see Verizon NH's reply to Staff 6-24.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-26 Electrics & VZ - Describe the manner in which you track Third Party

Attachments placed upon poles in New Hampshire. Provide copies of reports from your records for sample poles containing at least a CATV and two Third Party Attachers (pole location and attachers' names may be redacted) that demonstrate your company's internal record-keeping

for tracking pending and granted licenses.

REPLY: Information responsive to this request relative to reports for pending and

granted licenses is not maintained in the ordinary course of business and thus is not available. Since 2002, Verizon has used a database to track all license applications from date of receipt through the date the final

license is issued. Upon request, Verizon NH will provide a

demonstration of the database's ability to track applications through the

licensing process.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-27 Electrics & VZ - Describe the processes that exist to resolve attachment

disputes with Third Party Attachers. To the extent that processes exist describe those used to resolve attachment disputes between two third

party attachers.

REPLY: Verizon NH employs a dispute resolution process outlined in Verizon's

pole attachment agreement template, specifically in Article 15.10, titled "Dispute Resolution." Also, please see Verizon NH's response to Staff

1-22.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-28 CLECs – Is it your experience that utilities require telecommunications

and cable television pole attachers to remove or rearrange their wires without at least 60 days prior written notice, absent an emergency

situation for which advance written notice is impractical?

REPLY: Verizon response not required.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-29 Electrics & VZ – When doing a survey on existing poles for new

attachments:

a) Will you replace a pole on which insufficient space exists to allow an attachment with a pole tall enough to accommodate an applicant's facilities?

- b) In general, do you find that a majority of your existing poles are capable of accommodating additional telecommunications attachments without needing replacement?
- c) Please describe any circumstances when a pole attacher will be told that the owners cannot make a space on a given pole, or replace that pole, to accommodate the attacher's equipment.

REPLY:

- a) Verizon NH will replace a pole on which insufficient space exists to allow an attachment with a pole tall enough to accommodate an applicant's facilities except (in accordance with FCC requirements) for reasons of capacity, safety, reliability or engineering standards.
- b) In general, the majority of Verizon NH's existing poles are capable of accommodating additional telecommunications attachments without needing replacement.
- c) Please see (a) above.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Serge Laprise

Title: Manager – OSP Engineering

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-30 Electrics & VZ - What is your policy or practice for removing equipment

that is no longer needed from poles? Please provide any written policy

or guidelines.

REPLY: During the design process of a new project, if an engineer determines

that communication equipment located on poles is no longer needed,

equipment is removed as part of the engineering work order.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-31 Municipalities - Do you claim any right to regulate Third Party

Attachments to utility poles? If so, please describe your authority and how it is presently exercised. Provide copies of any policy or procedure manuals, ordinances or local regulations concerning competitive pole

attachments.

REPLY: Verizon response not required.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-32 Municipalities - Do you deploy your own municipal communications

services (e.g., private line networking)?

a) If so, please describe whether it is for your entity's own exclusive

use or available for use by third parties.

b) If available to third parties, must those parties be non-profit, governmental, quasi-governmental, educational or charitable

entities?

REPLY: Verizon response not required.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Alan Cort

Title: Director - Regulatory

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-33 Electrics & VZ - Does Verizon Wireless own poles jointly or solely in

the State of New Hampshire? If so, please provide a summary of all poles owned by Verizon Wireless in the State, based on size and class

and reconciled to the total number of poles.

REPLY: No.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Serge Laprise

Title: Manager – OSP Engineering

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-34 VZ - Do you attach your telecommunications equipment and the

equipment for fiber to the premises used in Verizon's FiOS (Fiber Optic System) product to poles in the communications space or in other space on the poles? Is this fiber over-lashed to any current attachments? Please provide details concerning how and where FIOS fiber cable is

installed on a pole.

REPLY: FiOS equipment is not attached to the communication space on poles.

The majority of fiber cable is over lashed onto existing facilities. Please

see Verizon NH's responses to Staff 3-11 and 3-11B Follow-Up.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-35 VZ - Do you calculate attachment fees, survey and make-ready charges

for Verizon's fiber to the premises installations on poles you solely or jointly own or solely or jointly use? If yes, is that method of calculation different from the method used to calculate fees for non-Verizon entities

wishing to attach to poles?

REPLY: Verizon NH does not calculate attachment fees to be charged or assessed

to itself on poles it either jointly or solely owns regardless of the type of facilities to be attached to the pole. Verizon NH does track engineering and construction workforce hours associated with fiber to the premises (FTTP) installations. Those hours are "charged" to the field accounts associated with the work as they are performed, and the tracking of those hours is not based on a methodology used to assess make-ready charges

to third party attachers.

State of New Hampshire

Docket No. DM 05-172

Respondent: Gloria L. Harrington

Title: Manager

REQUEST: New Hampshire Utilities Commission Staff, Set 6

DATED: July 19, 2006

ITEM: Staff 6-36 Does Verizon or a Verizon affiliate pay application, survey, make-ready,

licensing and/or rental fees for FiOS attachments? If so, please specify

each type of fee and the amount paid. Please identify the specific

accounts to which this revenue is recorded. Is all of this revenue booked above-the-line, or is any portion of it booked below-the-line? If any is

booked below-the-line, please explain.

REPLY: No.